

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/977,186	FAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sherali Ishrat	2621	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Rejection(s) discussed:

1-22

Oomura (US 6,504,955) and Zlotnick et al. (US 5,737,438)

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that based on the Applicant's amendment of independent claims 1 and 21 by incorporating allowable subject matter in claims, now claim 1 and 21 are allowable over prior art of record. However independent claims 8 and 16 can still be rejected over prior art of record. Applicant's Attorney requested Examiner of canceling claims 8-15, 17, 19 and 22 and also amend claim 16 by incorporating allowable subject matter of claim 17. Examiner agreed to amend the claims by an examiner's amendment to expedite the prosecution of the application.